Prior to entry All years of study	Applications reviews appears to be viable the disciplinary norm Information for appstudy is available of Concerns about progress raised in a timely fashion and addressed via the appropriate procedure.	e and can be comp n for self-funded stu- plicants on part-tir on the recruitment Termly supervisory progress review meetings	leted within their fuludents. me study and over twebpages. Annual Monitoring Review (early in the spring term)	Additional monitoring procedures may be applicable at discipline-
Initial stage of study	Streamlined Annual Monitoring Review (early in the spring term)	Upgrade, or equivalent review process for PhD direct-entry programmes, completed within stage.		level, but must be applied transparently, consistently,
Final stage of study	Continuation Status - failure to apply to transfer status ahead of planned submission date or to submit by date given in continuation status application used as an indication that there may be progress concerns. Must be able to demonstrate that they are nearing submission at application.	Extensions — Only one permitted, for not longer than a year (pro-rata for PT study). Must be applied for no later than six months ahead of maximum submission deadline, as such supervisory team to review with student whether there are any progress concerns in a timely manner.	Post- examination: formalisation of process to apply for extension of period for completion of amendments after receipt of report of the Board of Examiners.	and in alignment with wider University procedure.